

# UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/434,992 11/05/99 CANNON 90-81-39 **EXAMINER** WM02/1003 LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC NGUYEN, D PAPER NUMBER DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR RM 3C512 **ART UNIT** 600 MOUNTAIN AVENUE P 0 BOX 636 2643 MURRAY HILL NJ 07974-0636 DATE MAILED: 10/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	· .	09/434,992	CANNON ET AL.
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Duc M Nguyen	2643
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2643

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 11-17, 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lagoni et al (6,141,058).

Consider claims 1-7, 11-17, 21-28. Lagoni teaches a caller ID device (see fig. 1; abstract; col. 1, ln. 65 to col. 2, ln. 16) comprising a memory (col. 4, ln. 18-43) and a processor (110; col. 4, ln. 4-17; col. 4, ln. 55-67).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

į.

Application/Control Number: 09/434,992

Art Unit: 2643

4. Claims 8-10, 18-20, 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lagoni et al (6,141,058) in view of Lim et al (EP 0 844 773).

Consider claims 8, 18, 31. Lagoni does not teach an indication that the memory is more full than a predetermined threshold.

Lim teaches an indication that the memory is more full than a predetermined threshold (Pg. 5, ln. 35-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Lim into the teachings of Lagoni in order to save memory space.

Consider claims 9, 19, 32. Lagoni further teaches the user can edit the priority caller list (see figs. 2-3; col. 4, ln. 18-54) which reads on the limitations of claims 9, 19, 32.

Consider claims 10, 20, 33. Lagoni further teaches the user can edit the priority caller list (see figs. 2-3; col. 4, ln. 18-54) which reads on the limitations of claims 10, 20, 33.

Consider claims 29-30. Lagoni further teaches the user can edit the priority caller list (see figs. 2-3; col. 4, ln. 18-54) which reads on the limitations of claims 29-30.

Consider claim 34. Lagoni in view of Lim silences about the caller id device is part of a telephone. However, the combination of caller ID/telephone is well known in the telephony art. Furthermore, the mere fact that a given structure is integral does not preclude its consisting of various elements. Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179 (PTO Bd. Of Int. 1969). There is also a requirement that the unification or integration involve more than just mere mechanical skill.

Art Unit: 2643

In re Murray, 19 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 739, 53 F.2d 541, 11 USPQ 155; In re Zabel et al., 38 C.C.P.A. (patents) 832, 186 F.2d 735, 88 USPQ 367. It appears that the unity or diversity of parts would depend more upon the choice of the manufacturer, and the convenience and availability of the machines and tools necessary to construct the caller id/telephone, than on any inventive concept.

#### **Conclusion**

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7527.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306 or (703) 308-6296 (Group's Fax numbers)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

September 26, 2001

DUC NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINES